



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application of

Applicant : Günter Krautkrämer
Title : PLASTIC SCREW CLOSURE
Ser. No. : 09/973,224 ✓
Filed : October 9, 2001
Docket : WEB 035 IA
Examiner : Eloshway, N.
Art Unit : 3727

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service
as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P. O. Box 1450,
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on June 18, 2003.

William A. Jividen, Attorney

42,695
Reg. No.

RECEIVED

JUN 24 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER R3700

3727
\$

R. Kent

7/2/03

#7/Request
Second

RESPONSE

This paper is being filed in response to the Office Action mailed January 29, 2003 with a petition and fee for a two-month extension of time, thereby having a response date of June 29, 2003. Reconsideration of the present application is respectfully requested in light of the remarks below.

Remarks

The Examiner has rejected claims 1-16 and 18-22 under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Hertrampf (US 6,021,912). This rejection is respectfully traversed.

As the Examiner is well aware, a "claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference." *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631, 2 USPQ2d 1051, 1053 (Fed. Cir. 1987). "The identical invention must be shown in as complete detail as is contained in the ... claim." *Richardson v. Suzuki Motor Co.*, 868 F.2d 1226, 1236, 9 USPQ2d 1913, 1920 (Fed. Cir. 1989). The elements must be arranged as required by the claim. See *In re Bond*, 910 F.2d 831, 15 USPQ2d 1566 (Fed. Cir. 1990).

RECEIVED
JUN 30 2003
TC 3700 MAIL ROOM